## Proposal for PhD expert committee

## PhD candidate

| Name: | Department: |
| :--- | :--- |

Main supervisor

| Name: | Department: |
| :--- | :--- |

## Composition of committee

The proposal is to include the reasoning behind the composition of the committee with regard to how the committee as a whole covers the field(s) addressed in the thesis.

| Name (1. opponent): | Position: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Institution: | E-mail: |

Brief recommendation:

| Name (2. opponent): | Position: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Institution: | E-mail: |

Brief recommendation:

| Name (NTNU-member / administrator): | Position: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Institution: | E-mail: |
| Brief recommendation: |  |

## Gender Representation

Both genders should be represented. If this criterion is not met, give an explanation stating the grounds here:

If both genders are not represented, please list at least three people of the under-represented gender that have been approached: Name, position, institution:

## Representation from institution outside Norway

The main position of at least one member should be at an institution outside Norway. If this criterion is not met, give an explanation stating the grounds for this here:

If the proposal consists of Norwegian members only, please list at least three people from abroad that have been approached: Name, position, institution:

## Conflict of interest / impartiality

The provisions applicable to legal competence in the Norwegian Public Administration Act, §§ 6 and following sections, apply to the members of the committee, cf. § 10 of the same Act.To my best knowledge, there are no conflicts of interest or partiality that would disqualify members of the committee

## Reappointment of committee members

Has any of the suggested members taken part of expert committees for other PhD-candidates in the same research group?
Yes: $\qquad$ No: $\square$
If yes, for whom and in which year?

## Inquiry

The suggested members have been asked, and have all accepted the task:
Yes:No:

If not, please give the reason why:

## Tentative date(s) for trial lecture and public thesis defence

Estimate four months from submission of PhD thesis to thesis defence Suggested date for trial lecture:

Have all suggested members in the committee agreed on the proposed date(s):

Suggested date for thesis defence:
Signature by the main tutor and Head of department

| Place: | Date: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Main Supervisor's Signature: | Head of Department's Signature |
|  |  |

