

Energy Analysis and Energy Planning For Kindergartens Based On Data Analysis

Yiyu Ding*, Helge Brattebø, Natasa Nord Department of Energy and Process Engineering

The 1st Nordic Conference on Zero Emission and Plus Energy Buildings 2019 November 06-07, Trondheim, Norway

General information of kindergartens in Trondheim Municipality

- **Data source:** Energy monitoring platform of Trondheim Municipality
- 559 hourly files
- Main objectives:
 - Define energy duration curve
 - Calculation of CO₂ emission and future prediction

	Building nu	umbers (-)	Building area (m ²)		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
2013	62	21	36 979	24 623	
2014	66	23	38 855	26 317	
2015	68	26	40 890	30 105	
2016	68	27	40 890	31 766	
2017	71	28	43 259	32 768	
2018	71	28	43 259	32 768	



	EL (%)	DH (%)
DH high share	23.0	77.0
DH low share	54.0	46.0
DH average share	40.0	60.0

Energy duration curve Cohort 1 35 Year of 2013 Year of 2014 Year of 2015 Energy demand per m² (W/m²) 0 5 5 00 0 5 5 00 Cohort 1 Cohort 2 E_{DH-EL} E_{EL} $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{DH}-\mathbf{DH}}$ (kWh/m².yr) (kWh/m².yr) (kWh/m².yr) Cohort 182.3 111.6 2013 69.9 2014 169.6 100.9 65.4 2015 169.6 98.8 64.6 180.9 102.2 62.9 2016 180.8 101.6 62.5 2017 Cohort 2 EL

102.9

103.0

179.8

177.2

2018

20 Average

0

1000

63.1

64.7

		Energibærer**	Forbrenning Produksjon og Totalt		Energikilder Trondheim 2018						
		Elektrisitet	NA	NA	110			647 GWh			
		Avfall*	7	4	11 0	NS 372	0-2018		/ Fossil olje: 1 %		
		Lettolje	268	21	289			Source:	Fossil gass: 13 %		
		Tungolje	290	21	311			Norsk			
		LPG	235	39	274			Fiernvarme			
	ANBEFALT FAKTORER gCO2e/kWh	Naturgass (LNG)	203	40	243			rjenname			
		Naturgass (tørrgass	3) 204	40	244				Flek	sibel elektrisitet: 11 %	
		Energivekster	9	28	37						
		GROT og stubber	9	7	16						
Source):	RT_flic	9	3	10				Bi	ioenerai: 5 %	
Norsk		Bark og spon	9	5	14					ngivelsesvarme: 0 %	
Energi		Pellets og trepulver	6	13	19					igiveisesvanne. v //	
Linergi		Briketter	6	15	21			/			
		Bioolje (med	6	4	10			Gjenvunnet varme: 70 %			
		Bioolje (uten	292	4	296						
		Spillvarme	0	0	0						
				2010-		2015: 2010:			Utvikling Trondheim		
						CO _{2-DH2} CO ₂	2010.		Cionvulnet varma 2018		
			2018:		18:		CO _{2-DH3}		455 GWh		
									Continuente de la contractione de la contraction		
					JHT	00.4	C A		spinvarme na industri. 0 %		
C	nergy sources		Waste	/4.0		83.1	61				
e			Gas	10.8		5.9	20				
(%	6)		Electricity	85		5.0	6				
	·		Lieunicity	0.5		5.0	U				
			Bio-energy	4.0		4.0	5				
			Ambient	0.8		10	1				
		Ambient	Ambient	0.8		1.0 1	-				
			heat	at							
			Fossil oil	1.9		1.0	7				
			A1 C			76.2	Spillv	arme fra avfall: 100 % 🦯	А		
CO_2 factors (gCO_2/KWh)			LO_2 /KVVN)	41.6	0	23.3	/0.5		т		



Assessment of CO₂ impact of predicted new building area of 10 000 m²



- 6-year average annual total building area (w/o new building area): 70 413 m²
- Increasing building area rate: 14.2%
- Breaking point: 50 %- 67 % of new building areas with DH

Summary and Future work

- Half of building energy is used for heating due to cold climate
- Kindergarten with DH high share had almost lowest annual CO₂
- Kindergarten with DH low share or w/o DH had wider range of CO₂ due to dependence of electricity production mix
- Slower increase of CO₂ emission for new building area could be achieved with more than half DH penetration
- Energy data and profiles of other building types shall be analyzed
- Identified duration curves could be used to as reference for defining energy profiles of other building types, infrastructure sizing

Thank you for your attention!

May I have your questions and comments?



yiyu.ding@ntnu.no