

Criteria for review: CDIO Implementation

The CDIO Implementation track requires a full paper meeting criteria for a particular implementation of CDIO principles in a practical setting. It must be a **good practice with evidence-based implementation and a proof through evaluation**. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to ensure this.

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Overall relevance | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the topic relevant, significant, interesting and timely for the CDIO Initiative?▪ Is it a reflection on experience of CDIO implementation?▪ Is it argued why it is a good practice? |
| Literature | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper informed by papers from previous CDIO conferences focusing on the same topic?▪ Does it demonstrate awareness of the relevant previous CDIO literatures?▪ Is it put into good use here? |
| Aim or problem | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses? |
| Approach | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached and how the argument is built?▪ Are limitations critically discussed? |
| Conclusions | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Are the claims credibly supported?▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community? |
| Coherence and clarity | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?▪ Can the reasoning be followed through the paper?▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?▪ Are the APA guidelines and other formatting requirements followed? |