

Criteria for review: CDIO Projects in Progress

The CDIO Projects in Progress track focuses on papers describing proposal, initial development, current activities, on-going process, preliminary results or particular implementations of CDIO principles without evaluation. It must be a **description that is interesting to other members of the CDIO community**. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to ensure this.

Overall relevance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the topic relevant and interesting for the CDIO Initiative?
Aim or problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?
Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached?▪ Are limitations discussed?
Conclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Are the claims supported?▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?
Coherence and clarity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?▪ Are the APA guidelines and other formatting requirements followed?