

DNV·GL

VOLKER BERTRAM

Towards Unmanned Ships

DNV GL © 2013

Navigator

 Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air Related technologies
 Visions
 Key tasks & potential solutions
 Autonomous, but manned
 Key hurdles
 DNV GL concept study ReVolt





Self-driving cars reality





- 1939: automated highway model in world fair (GM pavilion)
- 1997: prototype system of intelligent highway in California
- 1994 2001: "seeing car" by Prof. Ernst Dickmanns
- 2014: Google Driverless Car

Unmanned airplanes reality

UCAV prototypes since 2001



- Stealth technology
- Al allows autonomous flight
- starts and lands on its own
- team capabilities
- sense & evaluate new scenarios



UCAV = Unmanned Combat Aerial Vehicle; AI = Artificial Intelligence

Where is the "unmanned ship"?



Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air
 Related technologies
 Visions
 Key tasks & potential solutions
 Autonomous, but manned
 Key hurdles
 DNV GL concept study ReVolt





Continuing efforts to reduce crews

Crew size for ocean-going cargo-ships

- ~ 1860: **250 men**
- ~ 1880: 140 men
- ~ 1900: 100 men
- ~ 1950: 40 men (Diesels)
- ~ 2000: **16 men (containership)**
- ~????: 0 men



Various approaches to reduce crews

Master-Slave



Shore Captain



Captain Computer



8 DNV GL © 2013

Underwater robots (AUV, ROV) – Key differences:

- Radio control impossible
- 6 degrees of freedom (AUV) vs 3 degrees of freedom (ship)
- Little risk of collision & IMO's COLREGs do not apply
- Short-term tasks (~ hours) Maintenance no problem



ROV



AUV

ROV = remotely operated vehicle; AUV = Autonomous underwater vehicle

USV = Unmanned Surface Vessel (oceanographic)

- very slow (different collision avoidance strategies)
- no engine, no propeller
- very long times between overhaul



Wave glider

USV = Unmanned Surface Vessel (navy & security)

- short-term tasks (~hours) Maintenance no problem.
- limited payload and space for sensors and computing power
- exempted from IMO regulations
- faster & more manoeuvrable than cargo ships



Owl MK II



Spartan

SailBots = Sailing Robots

- severely limited in payload (= computing power & sensors)
- standard nautical equipment (e.g. radar) not available.
- severe restrictions for manoeuvring
- may have to operate in densely packed groups of vessels (regatta)



Sailbot (Courtesy: INNOC)

Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air Related technologies Visions Key tasks & potential solutions Autonomous, but manned Key hurdles DNV GL concept study ReVolt







Ships and Shipping of tomorrow

"In this age of [...] automation it would not be difficult to imagine a ship without a crew"



15 DNV GL © 2013





Kai Levander

"Ship without crew" for short-sea shipping:

"A ship with no crew onboard could travel aided by the GPS chain and guided from the traffic stations.

Pilots could board near the harbour and take the [ship] into port. An automated mooring system secures the [ship] to the quay without help from the crew."

16 DNV GL © 2013





Bertram & Kaeding Combination of AI and tele-operation Feasible but not economically attractive (due to maintenance)





MUNIN (EU Project) Unmanned bulk carrier simulation Combination of AI and tele-operation

"Even if it is doubtful whether the unmanned merchant ships will be a reality in the short term the concept of an autonomous ship provides an important pathway for a sustainable development of maritime transport."





Oskar Levander (Rolls-Royce) Unmanned containerships Combination of AI and tele-operation

"The idea [...] is not new, [...] the difference is the technology now exists."

19 DNV GL © 2013





ReVolt (DNV GL)

Unmanned container feeder vessel Battery powered, territorial waters of Norway

"Building and operating this vessel would be possible with today's technology. 'ReVolt' is intended to serve as inspiration."



What is already feasible?



Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air Related technologies Visions Key tasks & potential solutions Autonomous, but manned Key hurdles

DNV GL concept study ReVolt





Collision avoidance – Expert systems in practice for 25 years



1989: First prototype demonstrator in **Japan** subsequently marketed as SuperBridge(-X)





Collision avoidance – Hurdles & solutions

Radar shortcomings:

- **Ship type** (required for COLREGs)
- Plastic / wood / ice

Possible solutions:

- Change COLREGs
- Use LIDAR for detection
- Use transponders for detection
- Use automatic identification
- Use ECDIS + iceberg tracking
- Use remote human vision







Voyage execution

Avoid excessive loads

Substitute human "feel" by sensors & software

- Ship acceleration sensors
- Strain gauges
- Short-term routing software

Route planning

Already frequently performed on-shore Both strategic & operational planning feasible









Berthing – "Normal" ships requiring tug assistance

Manned Tug + Unmanned ship

- Remote control
- Successful simulations in Japan (1990)





Berthing – Highly manoeuvrable ships

Various approaches

- Conical Radar
- Lidar (electro-optical system) successful field test in Japan (1990)
- DGPS
- DP technology for control strategy



DP = Dynamic Positioning

DGPS = Differential GPS

27 DNV GL © 2013

Mooring & Anchoring

Automatic anchor handling

- simulations in 1980s
- (Japanese Intelligent Ship project)

Automatic **mooring**

- Magnetic systems (already used)
- Suction systems

Automatic tug connection via tug lines

 Cooperative robotics (successful sea trials in 2013)









Cargo supervision

Reasons for supervision:

- Cargo security (theft & tampering)
- Cargo safety (shifting, fire, ...)
- Cargo care (life-stock, refrigerated, LNG, ...)



③ diligent & fast

 \otimes dumb

Requirements depend on cargo type, easy-to-difficult task



Moving towards "paperless" document handling:

- Automated **electronic report** making & transmission
- "Internet of Things"



Driven by general logistics industry

"Just" needs to be implemented in practice

Machinery – General technical development helps

Machinery requires care:

- Maintenance (lubrication, filters, ...)
- Repairs

Classical "show-stopper" for unmanned ships

Low-emission paradigm change makes things easier





Emergency Response

Fire & Co.

- Respond quickly
- Keep calm

Expert systems exist

Robots better for dangerous task

- Smart sprinkler systems
- Fire-fighting mobile robots







Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air
Related technologies
Visions
Key tasks & potential solutions
Autonomous, but manned
Key hurdles
DNV GL concept study ReVolt



The near-term issue is the "Smart Ship"





Alias "autonomic" Alias "intelligent" Alias "Cybership"

Both concepts share task for extending automation

Next step: The "smart" ship

"Smart" ship = Combine strengths

- Autonomous (= highly automated)
- Manned (= smaller crews operating "easy-to-drive" vessel)



Assorted technology

- Collision avoidance system
- Cargo supervision systems
- Emergency response systems
- "Distributed Bridge"
- Operator fatigue sensors

result



- 🗆 🗙

Wide acceptance & vital test phase

- Better work environment
- Safer shipping
- In-situ testing

"Like a Mercedes"





Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air Related technologies Visions Key tasks & potential solutions Autonomous, but manned Key hurdles DNV GL concept study ReVolt



Hurdles remain towards unmanned shipping

"Frequently Asked Questions" (depending on background, age & nationality)

- Never, ever trust technology
- You just can't replace a (real) man by a machine
- Pirates will love it !
- But it is not legal...
- What about the jobs?
-



Never, ever trust technology!

1/2

Very conservative industry

Yes, things can go wrong... ... especially if you involve humans



Don't expect 100% safety from machines. **Equal or better safety** suffices.

Diffuse technophobia

"Don't underestimate public opinion."



- Generation problem ?
- Time and good track records will solve the problem



2/2

Emotional concerns & human ego

A computer can't do the job

Really? Heard that one before...

- Play chess
- Fly airplane
- Drive car
- ...



Seafarers & trade unions look with concern at "automatic" ships:

- Devalues profession implicitly
- Threatens employment
- Degrade working conditions



It depends on how we do it.

Poorly designed automation is detrimental to our goals and values.

But "driving a Mercedes", seeing wife & family each evening, ... is not that bad

Pirates will love it!

Not really.

They get more money

if crew is taken as hostages.

Crews are not action heroes...



1/3

But anybody could take ship & cargo legally Abandoned ships belong traditionally to the finder. (Horatio Hornblower loved this)

Legal frameworks can be changed

- Tele-operated ship is not abandoned
- Treat unmanned ships same as unmanned buoys





Cyber-Pirates

Any old hacker could take over the ship

Any old hacker could take over e-banking

... but only in Hollywood movies





Economic concerns for unmanned ships

- Liability for system suppliers
- **Insurance** rates (initially)
- **Initial costs** for equipment higher / lower?
- Lower **resale** value (initially)

Net savings debatable / speculative



Several IMO regulations would require updates

Concerns regarding **IMO regulations**:

- COLREGs (under discussion)
- Seafarers in distress
 - robotic retrieval feasible
 - legal treatment as unmanned buoys
- Cargo supervision (security)
- "Sufficient & qualified crew" "equivalent safety approach"?

14 IMO conventions concerned,,,

... but IMO regulations evolve with time & technology

Easier for territorial waters – National regulations (e.g. Norway)





Navigator

Unmanned Vehicles – Land & Air Related technologies Visions Key tasks & potential solutions Autonomous, but manned Key hurdles DNV GL concept study ReVolt



Movie-time !







Hans-Anton Tvete

Movie-time !



Information & Discussion lead eventually to political consensus



We are here to help you !

Volker Bertram DNV GL – Maritime Services volker.bertram@dnvgl.com +49 40 36149 3457

www.dnvgl.com

SAFER, SMARTER, GREENER



