NTNU, Trondheim, September 16th 2015



Dialog, Spatial MCA & Infrastructure siting

Frank Hanssen & Roel May





What is the most optimal powerline route?



- Shortest route?
- Most bird friendly?
- Accumulated impacts?
- Maintainance friendly?
- Unproductive land?
- ...or

is it about all?!

ConSite (ConSensus based Siting)

- Optimal Placement of Power Lines (2009-2013)
- ConSensus based Siting (2014-2016)
- Siting of wind power in Lithuania (2015-2016)



ConSite is a Spatial Decision Support System that ensures

 Environmental friendly and cost-effective siting & routing processes of infrastructure

Transparency

- A common knowledge platform
- Local accept and involvement
- Trust and predictability
- Re-examination
- Conflict reduction

Consesus based solutions



ConSite building blocks





presents Consensus Based Siting

Dialog

- Stakeholders
- Anchoring and owership
- Relevant criteria (factors)
 - International literature
 - Empirical knowledge
 - Legal demands and restrictions
- Holistic evaluation
- Common understanding



Criteria normalisation





Criteria weights

- Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)
 - Model the problem
 - Establish priorities by pairwise comparisons
 - Synthesize judgements
 - Check consistency
 - Decision making
- No "right" answers
- Group processes



Decision strategies & trade-offs





Sub themes

Spatial MCA

Themes



Categories Weights Aggregated conflict map Criteria Weights Weights Weights Ecology Economy Criteria Weights Technology Society Criteria

Routing of transmission lines

- Conflict map
- Study area
- Optimal solution
- Project design



Siting of wind-power plants



ConSite Wind (NB! Under construction)

- Balance wind-power potential with local conflict level
- Conflict zoning
- Overall suitability
- Production-spesifics
 Boundary constraints
 - Geometrical design
 - Turbine capacity

Micrositing of wind-turbines

 INnovative Tools to reduce Avian Collisions with wind Turbines- INTACT (2014-2016)



Further progress - ConSite Aquaculture



Summary

 ConSite adress current needs in spatial planning and decision making

Consite ensure:

- Documentation & re-examination
- Simulation of spatial effects of decision strategies & trade-offs
- Conflict resolution & mitigation
- Process scoping & effectivisation
- Many synergies



References

- Bevanger, K., Bartzke, G., Brøseth, H., Dahl, E. L., Gjershaug, J., Hanssen, F., Jacobsen, K., Kleven, O., Kvaløy, P., May, R., Nygård, T., Refsnæs, S., Stokke, S., Thomassen, J. & Meås, R. Optimal design and routing of power lines; ecological technical and economic perspectives (OPTIPOL). Final Report, findings 2009 2014. Trondheim: Norsk institutt for naturforskning 2014 (ISBN 978-82-426-2622-6) 92 s. NINA rapport(1012) NINA
- Grizzetti, B., Lanzanova, D., Liquete, C., Reynaud, A., Rankinen, K., Hellsten, S., Forsius, M. & Cardoso, A.C. 2015. Cook-book for ecosystem service assessment and valuation in European water resource management. JRC Science and Policy Report, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 141 pp.
- Hanssen, F., May, R., Thomassen, J. & Bevanger, K. A Least Cost Path (LCP)Toolbox for Optimal Routing of High Voltage Power Lines for a Sustainable Future. Conference paper from the 10th International Symposium on Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way Management. Champaign, IL, USA: Utility Arborist Association 2014 ISBN 978-1-58301-336-6. s. 181-186 NINA
- Jiang, H. and Eastman, R.J., 2000. Application of fuzzy measures in multi-criteria evaluation in GIS. International Journal of Geographica Information Science 14: 173-84.
- Landuyt, D., Broekx, S., D'hondt, R., Engelen, G., Aertsens, J. & Goethals, P.L.M. 2013. A review of Bayesian belief networks in ecosystem service modelling. Environmental Modelling & Software 46: 1-11.
- Mateo, J.R.S.C. Multi-Criteria Analysis in the Renewable Energy Industry. Green Energy and Technology, Springer-Verlag London 2015
- Young, O. R., et al. (2007). "Solving the Crisis in Ocean Governance: Place-Based Management of Marine Ecosystems." Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development 49(4): 20-32.

Thanks for the attention!

Questions?

frank.hanssen@nina.no